

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
John T. Cox III (Tex. Bar No. 24003722)
Andrew LeGrand (Tex. Bar No. 24070132)
2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 2100
Dallas, Texas 75201-2911
Tel: 214.698.3100
TCox@gibsondunn.com
ALegrand@gibsondunn.com

Robert Klyman (*pro hac vice*)
333 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197
Tel: 213.229.7562
RKlyman@gibsondunn.com

Counsel to General Electric International, Inc.

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

IN RE WATTSTOCK, LLC,

Debtor.

WATTSTOCK, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

ALTA POWER LLC,

*Defendant, Counter-Plaintiff, and
Third-Party Plaintiff,*

v.

WATTSTOCK, LLC,

Counter-Defendant, and

**GENERAL ELECTRIC
INTERNATIONAL, INC., d/b/a GE
POWER SERVICES,**

Third-Party Defendant.

Case No. 21-31488-sgj11V

**Chapter 11
Subchapter V**

Adv. No. 21-03083-sgj

*Removed from the District Court of
Dallas County, Texas, 116th Judicial
District*

Case No. DC-20-08331

**GENERAL ELECTRIC INTERNATIONAL, INC.'S
STATEMENT OF ISSUES ON APPEAL**

In compliance with Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 8009(a)(1)(A), General Electric International, Inc. (“GE”) states the following issues for appeal to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas from the *Order Granting Motion to Remand Action Back to State Court*, dated March 29, 2023 [Docket No. 140].

1. Whether the Bankruptcy Court erred by entering an order remanding this case to state court rather than issuing proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law for the district court to review de novo as required by 28 U.S.C. § 157(c)(1), and whether the district court should review the remand order de novo as a result. This issue is the subject of a motion for reconsideration currently pending in the Bankruptcy Court [Docket No. 151].

2. Whether the Bankruptcy Court erred by remanding this case under 28 U.S.C. § 1452(b).

3. Whether the Bankruptcy Court erred by concluding that the existence of diversity jurisdiction is not sufficient to keep this case in federal court.

4. Whether the Bankruptcy Court erred by denying GE’s Motion to Withdraw the Reference for All Further Proceedings [Docket No. 103], including without limitation by entering an order rather than issuing proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law for the district court to review de novo as required by Local Rule 5011-1(b), and whether the district court should review the order de novo as a result.

Dated: April 12, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Andrew LeGrand

John T. Cox III (Tex. Bar No. 24003722)
Andrew LeGrand (Tex. Bar No. 24070132)
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 2100
Dallas, TX 75201
Tel: 214.698.3100
Email: TCox@gibsondunn.com
ALeGrand@gibsondunn.com

Robert Klyman (*pro hac vice*)
333 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197
Tel: 213.229.7562
Email: RKlyman@gibsondunn.com

Counsel to General Electric International, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that, on April 12, 2023, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing to be electronically filed with the CM/ECF system of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, which will automatically send e-mail notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

/s/ Andrew LeGrand

Andrew LeGrand

Attorney for General Electric International, Inc.